

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,566 07/08/2003		07/08/2003	Nicola Perone	P31343US	9013	
28805	7590	10/30/2006		EXAMINER		
ARNOLD & FERRERA, L.L.P.				TRUONG, KEVIN THAO		
2401 FOUN SUITE 630	TAIN VII	EW DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON	TX 770	)57 -		3734		

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/615,566	PERONE, NICOLA
Examiner	Art Unit
Kevin T. Truong	3734

- ' '		Airconne	l .
	Kevin T. Truong	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI		Ť	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	P	era a composition accordi	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		i E below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>		ducina or cimplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or sumplinying	tile issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
<u>=</u>		impliant Americanent	(1 102-02-7).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timely filed amondmy	ont cancoling the
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		/
13.  Other:	, , , == , , ,	160855	
		provide of	*
		Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner	$\nu$

Art Unit: 3734

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new added limitation to claims 5 and 17, such as "a handle grip that is detachable form the end of the stem" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

KEVIN T. TRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER